
The Story of P4
Hospitality Group
Turning a history
of family business
challenges into a
lasting legacy
P4
hospitality group
thinkp4.com



Brown’s Chicken, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 1979, Opening Day

Some of my earliest business lessons did not come from a classroom. They came during long days at our family restaurants, where conversations about payroll, staffing, schedules, inventory, and the latest emergency could quickly turn into an argument.

Customers saw a successful restaurant group. Employees saw owners with high expectations. I saw two people who had sacrificed nearly everything to build something extraordinary, yet often lacked the tools to manage the complexity their success had created.

At its peak, my parents built what was then the largest Brown's Chicken franchise organization, with seven independently owned locations and interests in three partnerships. They did it without formal business education, sophisticated management training, or a proven operating framework. What they had was courage, instinct, resilience, and an extraordinary willingness to work, but without the systems needed to sustain that success.

Their accomplishment deserves respect. At just 25 and 21 years of age respectively, they created jobs, served communities, supported families, and built a business larger than themselves. Their problem was never a lack of intelligence, ambition, or effort. They knew how to work in the business, but they had never been taught how to work on the business; to build an organization that could function consistently without consuming its owners.

I was four when the first location opened. I grew up in the kitchen, experiencing the good, the bad, and the ugly. I saw the energy of a busy dining room, the pride of serving loyal customers, and the satisfaction of creating something from nothing. I also saw the hidden cost of growth. Exceptionally long hours became normal. Holidays, vacations, family events, and important moments were missed because the restaurants were always in need of something. Business problems did not stay at work; they followed us home and became part of our family life.

My parents constantly argued over business issues. Their disagreements were not simply about one schedule, one employee, or one financial decision. They were often the result of exhaustion, unclear responsibilities, incomplete information, and two people trying to solve the same problem from different perspectives.

My father sometimes lost his temper in the restaurants. It was not because he did not care. He cared deeply. His anger often came from frustration, pressure, and the feeling that everything rested on him. My mother had a stronger instinct for the business and administrative side of the operation, and she carried much of its financial, operational, and emotional weight. Over time, the strain affected their marriage and her health.

Staffing created another painful cycle. The business frequently hired people who were not right for the role, often because a position needed to be filled quickly. Expectations were unclear, training was inconsistent, and accountability usually began after something had already gone wrong. Within weeks, the employee would be fired, and everyone else would work longer to cover the gap.

The same problems returned time after time. The conversations kept blaming the person in front of them instead of addressing the systems surrounding them.

Communication was reactive. Misunderstandings became resentment. Decisions were made under pressure. Family relationships and business responsibilities blurred together. The restaurants were growing, but the leadership practices, operating systems, and family relationships supporting them were not. Growth outpaced the systems needed to sustain it.

I wanted to help. I wanted my parents to build something stable, sustainable, and capable of becoming a true long-term family legacy. But seeing dysfunction is not the same as knowing how to correct it. At the time, I did not have the language, authority, or tools to create the change I could sense was needed. I was their child, not an objective adviser with a tested methodology. For years, that stayed with me.

My career in finance eventually gave me a different way to understand what I had witnessed. I learned that financial results are never just numbers. Revenue, labor costs, cash flow, and profitability reflect earlier decisions about hiring, training, scheduling, communication, priorities, and execution.

My studies of systems and process improvement helped me recognize that recurring crises are symptoms of deeper structural problems. Instead of asking only who made a mistake, I learned to ask different questions: Was the role clearly defined? Was the person properly selected and trained? Was there a plan? Was the process documented? Did everyone understand the priorities? Was performance measured before the problem became an emergency?

Those questions helped me see my family’s experience more clearly. My parents did not have isolated problems with staffing, communication, or finances. They had an interconnected system problem and no framework for seeing it that way.

That realization led me to create the P4 Framework—People, Planning, Processes, and Profitability—to name and structure those interconnected problems.

People means putting the right individuals in clearly defined roles and giving them the training, communication, feedback, and support needed to succeed.

Planning means creating shared goals, priorities, responsibilities, and decision-making rhythms before every issue becomes urgent.

Processes mean establishing consistent ways of working so that the organization does not depend on memory, improvisation, or a single exhausted owner.

Profitability means understanding that profit is not created by sales alone. It reflects how effectively people, planning, and processes work together.

I also created the Restaurant Performance Assessment to help operators identify gaps, understand root causes, and determine where to begin improving. Together, the assessment and the P4 Framework bring clarity, alignment, and function to dysfunction.

My parents did not have the benefit of either tool.

Had those tools existed then, clearer roles and better hiring practices might have interrupted the cycle of hiring the wrong people and letting them go weeks later.

Regular planning could have helped my parents resolve disagreements before they erupted in the restaurants. Documented processes could have reduced confusion and the need for constant owner intervention, while better financial visibility could have supported calmer, more objective decisions.

The framework would not have eliminated every conflict or created a perfect business. No framework can do that. But it could have given my parents a shared language for solving problems without turning every business disagreement into a personal wound. It might have protected their time, health, personal and family relationships, and the long-term value of what they built.

I wasn't able to do everything I wanted to help them. I could not turn the organization into the enduring family enterprise I once imagined. For a long time, I believed that meant the legacy had been lost. Looking back, I understand things differently.

The restaurants were not the only possible legacy. Everything I witnessed—the courage, the sacrifice, the conflict, the missed moments, and the problems no one knew how to solve— molded my career and my purpose. Their experience became my education. That education became a framework. And that framework now gives other restaurant owners the clarity my parents never had.

My parents did not leave the legacy I once thought they would. But they did create the person who could help others build theirs, and that is how their legacy continues.

I am the legacy—not because everything worked out, but because when you know better, you do better.

